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- Plan for Stakeholder Input
- Projected Outcomes
Legal/Policy Subgroup: Membership

- Experienced lawyers and policy analysts
- NHTSA, FHWA, RITA, FMCSA, FTA
- DOT Offices of the General Counsel and CIO
- DOT Privacy Officer
Legal/Policy Subgroup: Tasks

- Identify/analyze critical legal and policy issues stemming from a Connected Vehicle Environment
- Perform/overseeing legal and policy research
- Consult with stakeholders
- Provide recommendations to the Senior Policy Task Force
Legal Policy Subgroup: Scope of Analysis

- U.S. DOT Authority
- Privacy
- Liability/Risk Sharing
- Intellectual Property
- Data Ownership/Access
- Antitrust/Spectrum
Legal Policy Subgroup: Status of Work

- Commenced Fall 2011
- Authority analysis nearing completion
- Other areas underway
- Need stakeholder input
  - Liability/Risk Sharing
  - IP Issues
  - Data
Lawyerly Disclaimer

- Much of the Subgroup’s work is pre-decisional and deliberative work product
- No short term plan to release our written work product in advance of DOT’s upcoming internal decisional milestones
- Committed to consulting with stakeholders and keeping you informed as our work progresses
- A determination that DOT has certain authority does NOT mean that we will/should exercise that authority
Legal Policy Subgroup: U.S. DOT Authority

- *Current* legal authority relevant to implementation of a connected vehicle environment
- Authority to: regulate, fund, build, operate, oversee and/or otherwise influence the equipment, infrastructure, technologies, organizations, regulations, standards, certifications and protocols required for V2V/V2I communications
Each modal administration performed a comprehensive analysis of its own authority as it relates to the connected vehicle environment.

OGC analyzed the Department’s general ITS authority.

OGC/NHTSA analyzed relevant authority of the FCC and NTIA.
Authority analysis will inform how the Senior Policy Task Force addresses a critical issue facing the Department:

- who will build and operate the infrastructure necessary for a connected vehicle environment, including the security network required for trusted V2V communications?
- Security network: both the organization components and communication infrastructure
Legal Policy Subgroup: U.S. DOT Authority

DOT has sufficient *current* legal authority to support implementation of many critical aspects of a connected vehicle environment, including the security network, based on:

- The broad regulatory authority of NHTSA and FMCSA
- FHWA, FMCSA and FTA grant programs that provide incentives to States to install maintain and, in some cases, operate connected vehicle infrastructure
Legal Policy Subgroup: U.S. DOT Authority

- DOT does not have sufficient legal authority to \textit{require} States or local governments to build or maintain some of the critical roadside infrastructure that supports a connected vehicle environment, including the DSRC enabled traffic controllers.

- FHWA does not have authority to mandate expenditure of State or local funds for connected vehicle infrastructure.
Legal Policy Subgroup: NHTSA Authority

- Direct broad regulatory authority over the equipment that goes into new motor vehicles, including commercial and transit motor vehicles.

- Retrofit authority that is coextensive with the scope of FMCSA’s regulatory authority, which encompasses most commercial motor vehicles in interstate commerce.
Legal Policy Subgroup: NHTSA Authority

Under the **National Traffic and Motor Vehicle Safety Act of 1966**, NHTSA’s regulatory authority would extend to:

- **On-Board V2V Equipment (OBE)** (originally manufactured and sold with the vehicle)
- **Aftermarket Safety Devices (ASDs)** integrated into a motor vehicles
- **Many Nomadic ASDs**, including those used for traffic safety purposes
Legal Policy Subgroup: NHTSA Authority

- **Software updates for Motor Vehicles**, including to OBE modules
- **Vehicle software/applications**, including those on nomadic devices (e.g., remote unlock or start)
- **Software/applications related to Traffic Safety**, including those on nomadic devices (e.g., V2V or V2I safety applications)
- **Electronic Messages**: The content, format, security protocol and communications standards applicable to electronic V2V/V2I messages sent or received/accepted by motor vehicle equipment within a motor vehicle
Legal Policy Subgroup: NHTSA Authority

- A security network needs to exist in order for V2V technology to function securely and provide safety benefits -- for this reason, it must be in place concurrent with the effective date of any NHTSA FMVSS mandating V2V equipment in motor vehicles.

- On that basis, NHTSA would have inherent authority to ensure that the critical security infrastructure required for trusted V2V communications exists.

- We believe this could be accomplished through a contract to procure the build out and operation of this critical infrastructure on a for-cost, shared-cost or no-cost basis.

- The National Traffic and Motor Vehicle Safety Act of 1966 provides additional support for NHTSA’s authority to ensure the existence of this critical infrastructure concurrent with a V2V rulemaking.