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CV PILOT DEPLOYMENT PROGRAM GOALS

Spur Early CV Tech Deployment
Wirelessly Connected Vehicles
Mobile Devices
Infrastructure

Measure Deployment Benefits
Safety
Mobility
Environment

Resolve Deployment Issues
Technical
Institutional
Financial
Phase 1: Concept Development (COMPLETE)
- Creates the foundational plan to enable further design and deployment
- Progress Gate: Is the concept ready for deployment?

Phase 2: Design/Deploy/Test (CURRENT PHASE- began September 1, 2016)
- Detailed design and deployment followed by testing to ensure deployment functions as intended (both technically and institutionally)
- Progress Gate: Does the system function as planned?

Phase 3: Maintain/Operate
- Focus is on assessing the performance of the deployed system
- Post Pilot Operations (CV tech integrated into operational practice)
Objective:
- Reduce the number and severity of adverse weather-related incidents (including secondary incidents) in the I-80 Corridor in order to improve safety and reduce incident-related delays.
  - Focused on the needs of the commercial vehicle operator in the State of Wyoming.

Approach:
- Equip fleet vehicles (400 equipped trucks, a combination of snow plows, maintenance fleet vehicles, emergency vehicles, and private trucks) that frequently travel the I-80 corridor to transmit BSMs, collect vehicle and road condition data and provide it remotely to the WYDOT TMCs.
- Deploy approximately 75 DSRC RSUs along the 402 miles of I-80 to supplement existing assets and initiatives.
- Road weather data shared with freight carriers who will transmit to their trucks using exiting in-vehicle systems.
Objective:
- Improve safety and mobility of travelers in New York City through connected vehicle technologies.
  - Aligned with the NYC’s Vision Zero initiative, which seeks to reduce crashes and pedestrian fatalities, and increase safety of travelers in all modes of transportation.

Approach:
- Equip up to 8,000 vehicles (taxis, buses, commercial fleet delivery trucks, and City-owned vehicles) that frequently travel in Midtown Manhattan and Central Brooklyn to transmit and receive connected vehicle data.
- Deploy approximately 310 RSUs at signalized intersections in Manhattan and Central Brooklyn (emergency evacuation route); 8 RSUs along the higher-speed Franklin D. Roosevelt (FDR) Drive to address challenges such as short-radius curves, a weight limit and a minimum bridge clearance; 36 RSUs at other strategic locations throughout the City to support system management functions.
- Equip approximately 100 pedestrians with personal devices and deploy approximately 11 pedestrian detection systems to reduce vehicle-pedestrian conflicts.
Objective:
- The primary objective of this deployment is to alleviate congestion and improve safety during morning commuting hours.
  - Deploy a variety of V2V and V2I safety, mobility, and agency data applications to create reinforcing benefits for motorists, pedestrians, and transit operation.

Approach:
- Deploy a variety of connected vehicle technologies on and in the vicinity of reversible express lanes and three major arterials in downtown Tampa to solve the following transportation challenges:
  - Morning peak hour queues, wrong-way entries, pedestrian safety, bus rapid transit (BRT) signal priority optimization, trip time and safety, streetcar trolley conflicts, and enhanced signal coordination and traffic progression.
  - Employ DSRC to enable transmissions among approximately 1,500 cars, 10 buses, 10 trolleys, 500 pedestrians with smartphone applications, and approximately 40 roadside units along city streets.
# Overview of Pilot Deployment Proposed CV Applications

## Category: WYDOT – CV Application

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>WYDOT - CV Application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V2V Safety</td>
<td>Forward Collision Warning (FCW)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V2I/I2V Safety</td>
<td><strong>I2V Situational Awareness</strong>*&lt;br&gt;- Work Zone Warnings (WZW)<em>&lt;br&gt;- Spot Weather Impact Warning (SWIW)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V2I and V2V Safety</td>
<td>Distress Notification (DN)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Category: NYCDOT – CV Application

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>NYCDOT - CV Application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V2I/I2V Safety</td>
<td>Speed Compliance&lt;br&gt;- Curve Speed Compliance&lt;br&gt;- Speed Compliance/Work Zone&lt;br&gt;- Red Light Violation Warning&lt;br&gt;<strong>Oversize Vehicle Compliance</strong>&lt;br&gt;- Emergency Communications and Evacuation Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V2V Safety</td>
<td>Forward Crash Warning (FCW)&lt;br&gt;- Emergency Electronics Brake Lights (EEBL)&lt;br&gt;- Blind Spot Warning (BSW)&lt;br&gt;- Lane Change Warning/Assist (LCA)&lt;br&gt;- Intersection Movement Assist (IMA)&lt;br&gt;- Vehicle Turning Right in Front of Bus Warning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V2I/I2V Pedestrian</td>
<td>Pedestrian in Signalized Crosswalk&lt;br&gt;- Mobile Accessible Pedestrian Signal System (PED-SIG)&lt;br&gt;- Intelligent Traffic Signal System (I-SIG)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Mobility | **The applications have mobility/ efficiency as a secondary benefit.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Tampa (THEA) – CV Application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V2I Safety</td>
<td>End of Ramp Deceleration Warning (ERDW)&lt;br&gt;- Wrong Way Entry (WWE)&lt;br&gt;- Pedestrian in Signalized Crosswalk Warning (PED-X)&lt;br&gt;- Pedestrian Collision Warning (PCW)&lt;br&gt;- Pedestrian Transit Movement Warning (PTMW)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V2V Safety</td>
<td>Emergency Electronic Brake Lights (EEBL)&lt;br&gt;- Forward Collision Warning (FCW)&lt;br&gt;- Intersection Movement Assist (IMA)&lt;br&gt;- Vehicle Turning Right in Front of a Transit Vehicle (VTRFTV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility</td>
<td>Mobile Accessible Pedestrian Signal System (PED-SIG)&lt;br&gt;- Intelligent Traffic Signal System (I-SIG)&lt;br&gt;- Transit Signal Priority (TSP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency Data</td>
<td>Probe Date Enabled Traffic Monitoring (PDETM)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Overview of Pilot Deployment Proposed CV Devices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WYDOT - Devices</th>
<th>Estimated Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roadside Unit (RSU)</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WYDOT Fleet Subsystem On-Board Unit (OBU)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated Commercial Truck Subsystem OBU</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrofit Vehicle Subsystem OBU</td>
<td>20-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic Vehicle Subsystem OBU</td>
<td>100-150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Equipped Vehicles</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NYCDOT - Devices</th>
<th>Estimated Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roadside Unit (RSU) at Manhattan and Brooklyn Intersections and FDR Drive</td>
<td>353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxi Equipped with Aftermarket Safety Device (ASD)*</td>
<td>5,850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTA Fleet Equipped with ASD*</td>
<td>1,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPS Truck Equipped with ASD*</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYCDOT Fleet Equipped with ASD*</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSNY Fleet Equipped with ASD*</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vulnerable Road User (Pedestrians/Bicyclists) Device</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PED Detection System</td>
<td>10 + 1 spare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Equipped Vehicles</td>
<td>8,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **MTA**: Metropolitan Transportation Authority; **DSNY**: City of New York Department of Sanitation
- *In addition, 600 spare ASDs will be purchased.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tampa (THEA) - Devices</th>
<th>Estimated Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roadside Unit (RSU) at Intersection</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Equipped with On-Board Unit</td>
<td>1,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrian Equipped with App in Smartphone</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HART Transit Bus Equipped with OBU</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TECO Line Street Car Equipped with OBU</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Equipped Vehicles</td>
<td>1,620</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MTA: Metropolitan Transportation Authority; DSNY: City of New York Department of Sanitation

*In addition, 600 spare ASDs will be purchased.*
LESSONS LEARNED IN CV PILOTS CONCEPT DEVELOPMENT PHASE

(FROM THE FEDERAL TEAM PERSPECTIVE)
FEDERAL TEAM PERSPECTIVE: ENCOURAGING COLLABORATION

- Stakeholder interaction and partnership was a critical early focus area
  - Stakeholder interaction early and often leads to better concepts and more buy-in
  - Pilot sites did a good job of picking partners as subs to fill out the experience required for many different activities

- Non-competitive structure assisted site-to-site coordination
  - Cooperation on security, vendor interaction, stakeholder coordination
    (UPS in WY and NYC)
  - Participation in virtual roundtables allowed sites to learn from each other

- Phase 1 site deliverables created examples for others to follow
Leverage guidance from previous deployment and evaluation efforts
  - Good lessons learned from Safety Pilot Model Deployment on installation planning/training
  - Good feedback from ICM and Volpe’s Safety Pilot Evaluation teams

Building in performance measurement to a deployed system requires cross functional coordination within the team

Using standards (intelligently) can help to advance sites systems engineering

USDOT open source applications made a great starting point for teams to build their ConOps around, but will require significant tailoring to be “deployment ready”

Building agreements with equipment suppliers is a long and uncertain activity – sites have started to explore options

Gaining an early understanding of Institutional Review Board (IRB) process and timeframes can help in planning and managing schedule risk
  - E.g., considering how frequently the IRB meets in planning the project schedule
Sites were eager to consume USDOT technical assistance

- Deployments are complex, requiring a lot of diverse elements to come together in an integrated system (technical, security, privacy, performance measurement, institutional, financial, etc.)

- Concept development takes some time to conduct – prior to procuring/designing/installing equipment

  - Sites are willing to “do the hard work now” rather than later, which would be more challenging and expensive

    a E.g., participating in detailed SyRS walkthroughs, thinking through initial application development cost estimates

  - Early discussions and information sharing regarding the Phase 2 and 3 NOFO allows agencies to investigate options for coming up with the required cost share
LESSONS LEARNED IN CV PILOTS
CONCEPT DEVELOPMENT PHASE

(NOT THE PILOT SITE PERSPECTIVE)
PILOT SITE PERSPECTIVE: PROGRAM MANAGEMENT

- **Program Management**
  - Importance of face-to-face progress meetings followed by breakout sessions.
  - Each progressive document must be reconciled with prior documents.
    - Incorporate a reconciliation document for tracking these connected changes.
  - Balance needed to keep all teams informed and connected
    - Between empowering team leads to operate autonomously and maintaining centralized program management.
  - Plan with post-pilot operation in mind.

- **Stakeholder Engagement**
  - Stakeholders’ participation is key to success and to the “Benefits” of the program
    - Need to not only engage stakeholders early, but to educate early.
    - Formalized agreements with private partners take time.
    - Engage procurement and contracting personnel early.
  - Address commercial operator’s priorities and concerns.
    - E.g., privacy, liability, flexibility, etc.
Concept of Operations

- Develop an approach to integrate CV pilot with existing transportation systems management and operations.
  
  a. Need to look at the use of non-CV technology as part of solution.

System Requirements

- Development of Verifiable System Requirements is challenging as the standards are evolving.
  
  a. Research vs. real-life deployment requires different approaches (deployment of a “production CV system” is different from an R&D platform).
  
  a. Be prepared for concept evolution – must meet actual needs.

Application and Device

- In a mature CV environment, a local level transportation agency would typically not be supplying/procuring the vehicle resident CV system components (ASD, OBU) and their safety applications.
  
  - A systems engineering approach is recommended for procuring these end devices. Insist on release of fundamental operating requirements for “existing” applications – including test procedures.
PILOT SITE PERSPECTIVE: PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT RELATED

- Performance Measurement, Data Collection and Independent Evaluation Support
  - Performance Measurement development takes time and thought.
  - Balancing data needs is important
    - Protecting participant PII while also providing sufficient data to support performance measurement.
    - Privacy is a critical issue for our stakeholders.
    - Data collection schemes need to be tempered by the privacy issues.
    - Plan additional time for understanding the issues, formulating workable solutions, and negotiating agreements with the many stakeholders.
  - Early involvement in activities such as System Requirements helps facilitate meaningful performance measurement.
  - Early definition of needs and role of Independent Evaluator is helpful.
Join us for the Getting Ready for Deployment Series

- Discover more about the CV Pilot Sites
- Learn the Essential Steps to CV Deployment
- Engage in Technical Discussion

Visit the Pilot Site Websites for more Information:

- NYCDOT Pilot: https://www.cvp.nyc/
- Tampa (THEA): https://www.tampacvpilot.com/
- Wyoming DOT: https://wydotcvp.wyoroad.info/

Contact for CV Pilots Program:
Kate Hartman, Program Manager
Kate.hartman@dot.gov

Contact for Pilot Sites:
- Kate Hartman, WYDOT Site AOR Kate.Hartman@dot.gov
- Jonathan Walker, NYCDOT Site AOR Jonathan.b.Walker@dot.gov
- Govind Vadakpat, THEA Site AOR G.Vadakpat@dot.gov